

### 4G MOBILE BROADBAND – LTE PART II

Dr Stefan Parkvall Principal Researcher Ericson Research

### SUMMARY


> Radio channel quality is time varying

> Traffic pattern is time varying

#### > Adapt to and exploit...

-variations in the radio channel quality

- variations in the traffic pattern
- ... instead of combating them!








### RECAP FROM FIRST SESSION


Shared channel transmission

Channel-dependent scheduling


Rate control

> Hybrid-ARQ with soft combining





1



# OUTLINE

# =

#### Series of three seminars

#### I. Basic principles

- Channel and traffic behavior
- Link adaptation, scheduling, hybrid-ARQ
- Evolving 3G, inclusion of basic principles in WCDMA

#### II. LTE

- First step into 4G
- Path towards IMT-Advanced

#### **III.** Standardization

- How are HSPA and LTE created?
- 3GPP, ITU, ...



### LTE TECHNICAL OVERVIEW



### LTE – 4G MOBILE BROADBAND


Developed in 3GPP


- 2005 LTE standardization started
- 2008 First standard (Rel-8)
- 2009 Commercial operation starts
- Packet-data only (no CS domain)
  - Rel-8 up to 300 Mbit/s DL 75 Mbit/s UL in 20 MHz
  - Rel-10 up to 3 Gbit/s DL 1.5 Gbit/s UL in 100 MHz
  - Low latency, 5 ms user plane, 50 ms control plane

> FDD and TDD

> Fulfills all IMT-Advanced requirements








### LTE – 4G MOBILE BROADBAND

#### From early studies...










#### ...to commercial operation!






### GLOBAL CONVERGENCE

3


> LTE is the major technology for future mobile broadband

- Convergence of 3GPP and 3GPP2 technology tracks
- Convergence of FDD and TDD into a single technology track



### LTE NETWORKS





Sources: LTEmaps.org (Feb, 2014)


Ericsson Internal | 2013-04-29 | Page 9

# SPECTRUM FLEXIBILITY




> Operation in differently-sized spectrum allocations

- Core specifications support any bandwidth from 1.4 to 20 MHz
- Radio requirements defined for a limited set of spectrum allocations



Support for paired and unpaired spectrum allocations
 with a single radio-access technology 

 economy-of-scale




# TRANSMISSION SCHEME




#### **Downlink – OFDM**

 Parallel transmission on large number of narrowband subcarriers



- > Benefits:
  - Avoid own-cell interference
  - Robust to time dispersion
- Main drawback
  - Power-amplifier efficiency

# **Uplink – DFTS-OFDM**> DFT-precoded OFDM



- > Tx signal has single-carrier properties
  - ⇒ Improved power-amplifier efficiency
    - Improved battery life
    - Reduced PA cost
    - Critical for uplink
- > Equalizer needed ⇒ Rx Complexity
  - Not critical for uplink

### OFDM AND TIME DISPERSION




Time dispersion 
inter-symbol interference

- Requires receiver-side processing (equalization)

> OFDM – transmission uses multiple 'narrowband' subcarriers


 Including of cyclic prefix completely mitigates time dispersion (up to CP) at the cost of additional overhead 
 simple receiver



### DOWNLINK – OFDM

> Parallel transmission using a large number of narrowband "sub-carriers"

- Typically implemented with FFT
- 15 kHz subcarrier spacing
- > Insertion of cyclic prefix prior to transmission
  - Two CP lengths supported,  ${\approx}4.7~\mu s$  and  ${\approx}16.7~\mu s$
  - Improved robustness in time-dispersive channels requires CP > delay spread
  - Spectral efficiency loss




### UPLINK – DFT-SPREAD OFDM

=

Single-carrier uplink transmission ➡ efficient power-amplifier operation ➡ improved coverage


- OFDM requires larger back-off than single-carrier
- DFT-spread OFDM OFDM with DFT precoder to reduce PAR
- > Uplink numerology aligned with downlink numerology



# TIME-DOMAIN STRUCTURE


#### > FDD

#### - Uplink and downlink separated in frequency domain




#### > TDD

- Uplink and downlink separated in time domain ➡ "special subframe"
- − Same numerology etc as FDD ⇒ economy of scale




### PHYSICAL RESOURCES





### PROTOCOL ARCHITECTURE



e *Protocol* erhead

#### npression to rhead or security

wergence Protocol


ol on/concatenation smissions e delivery

Control of radio bearers retransmissions

, Modulation tenna processing ce mapping

### DATA FLOW IN LTE







## ARCHITECTURE




- > Core network evolved in parallel to LTE
  - EPC Evolved Packet Core
- > Flat architecture, single RAN node, the eNodeB
  - Compare HSPA, which has an RNC





# CHANNEL-DEPENDENT SCHEDULING

# LTE – channel-dependent scheduling in time and frequency domain HSPA – scheduling in time-domain only





# UPLINK SCHEDULING



> Base station mandates data rate of terminal

- Unlike HSPA where terminal selects data rate [limited by scheduler]
- Motivated by orthogonal LTE uplink vs non-orthogonal HSPA uplink





Downlink


Uplink

# HYBRID-ARQ WITH SOFT COMBINING

#### Parallel stop-and-wait processes


- 8 processes 

8 ms roundtrip time




# MULTI-ANTENNA TECHNIQUES


1




*Diversity* for improved system peformance



**Beam-forming** for improved coverage (less cells to cover a given area)



**SDMA** for improved capacity (more users per cell)



*Multi-layer transmisson* ("MIMO") for higher data rates in a given bandwidth


The multi-antenna technique to use depends on what to achieve

### SCHEDULING AND INTERFERENCE HANDLING




Scheduling strategy strongly influences system behavior

- Trade-off between capacity and uniform service provisioning
- Can take inter-cell interference into account
  - > Improve cell-edge data rates...at the cost of system throughput
  - Autonomous handling complemented by exchange of coordination messages between base stations



### LTE EVOLUTION





#### MBSFN OPERATION REL-9

> Multicast-Broadcast Single Frequency Network

- Synchronized transmission from multiple cells
- Seen as multipath propagation by terminal
  - combining gain 'for free'

> MBSFN for content known to have many viewers


- News, sport events, ...





On demand Personalized content Big events

Big events Known in advance to have many users




#### CARRIER AGGREGATION REL-10



> What?

- Multiple component carriers in parallel



Intra-band aggregation, non-contiguous component carriers

#### > Why?


- Exploitation of fragmented spectrum
- − Higher bandwidth ➡ higher data rates


#### CARRIER AGGREGATION **REL-10**

- Baseband implementation
  - Processing per component carrier
  - Relatively straightforward, Complexity ~ aggregated data rate

#### > RF implementation

- Challenging, especially on the terminal side
  - True for any radio-access technology!
- Complexity depends on band combinations
- Insertion loss, harmonics, intermodulation, ...





RLC

HARQ

Proc.

CA-capable terminal

HARQ


Proc.

#### MIMO ENHANCEMENTS REL-10

> Enhanced downlink MIMO – up to 8 layers
> Uplink MIMO – up to 4 layers

#### Trend – focus on UE-specific reference-signals (DM-RS)

- Enabling novel multi-antenna structures
- Improved beamforming, heterogeneous deployments, CoMP, ...
- Rel-11 extends DM-RS support to control signaling








# REL-10



- Relay small low-power base station
  - Creates new cells can serve Rel-8 terminals
  - Uses LTE spectrum/air interface for backhaul transport ("self-backhauling")
- > Main usage scenario
  - When fiber/microwave backhaul is more expensive than LTE spectrum



#### COMP **REL-11**


Some schemes discussed...


Deployment scenarios investigated...


Challenges – robustness and overhead

- - Intra-site coordination
- Inter-site coordination

Heterogeneous deployment







Joint Transmission

coordination






# HETEROGENEOUS DEPLOYMENTS



Increasing data rate and capacity demands densification

- Strong trend towards complementing macro nodes with picos
- Possible already in Rel-8
- > Later releases provide tools *improving* heterogeneous deployments
  - Range expansion increase pico uptake area
  - Dual connectivity macro-assisted pico layer
  - Relay pico backhaul




#### ADDITIONAL EXAMPLES REL-12

- > Flexible TDD allocations
  - Adapt to traffic variations [in small cells]

- Machine-type communication
  - Possible in Rel-8
  - Enhancements in later releases number of connections, low-cost terminals, …
- > Enhancements of existing features
  - Additional band combinations
  - Carrier aggregation enhancements
  - Receiver improvements

- ...










# FURTHER INTO THE FUTURE



#### **Vision – Networked Socitey**

Unlimiting access to information and sharing of data anywhere and anytime for anyone and anything




### KEY CHALLENGES





#### Massive growth in

#### **Connected Devices**



# Wide range of **Requirements & Characteristics**


#### MBB and New Use Cases

- Data rates
- Latency
- Reliability
- Device energy consumption
- Device cost
- .....



Affordable and sustainable






- > Evolution of existing radio-access technologies
- > New *complementary* technologies

Ericsson Internal | 2013-04-29 | Page 36

# FURTHER INTO THE FUTURE



 A set of integrated radio-access technologies jointly enabling the long-term Networked Society



LTE - some building blocks ..... OFDM Bandwidth flexibility FDD and TDD > Evolution continues

201

#### Fundamental principle – adapt to and exploit variations in...



...radio channel quality











2020

2015

2025







#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...







#### ...or read The Book!

Open the 3GPP specifications...

Available in English, Chinese, Korean and Japanese.



# ERICSSON