



# 4G MOBILE BROADBAND – LTE PART I


Dr Stefan Parkvall  
Principal Researcher  
Ericson Research

# DATA OVERTAKING VOICE




- › Data is overtaking voice...  
...but previous cellular systems designed primarily for voice

## Rapid subscriber growth




## Rapid traffic growth




# MOBILE BROADBAND



- › HSPA – High-Speed Packet Access (“Turbo-3G”)
  - Evolution of 3G/WCDMA
  - Data rates up to ~168 Mbit/s (DL), ~44 Mbit/s (UL)
  - Support for broadcast services (IMB)
  
- › LTE (“4G”)
  - Very high data rates in a wide range of spectrum allocations
  - Data rates up to 300 Mbit/s (DL), 75 Mbit/s (UL) in first version
  - Integral support for broadcast services



# THE 3GPP ECOSYSTEM



***333 HSPA operators in  
139 countries...***

***2922 HSPA devices from  
255 suppliers...***



# OUTLINE



## Series of three seminars

### I. Basic principles

- Channel and traffic behavior
- Link adaptation, scheduling, hybrid-ARQ
- Evolving 3G, inclusion of basic principles in WCDMA

### II. LTE

- First step into 4G
- Path towards IMT-Advanced

### III. Standardization

- How are HSPA and LTE created?
- 3GPP, ITU, ...

# RADIO CHANNELS AND PACKET DATA – SOME PROPERTIES



# WIRELESS VS WIRELINE



› Wireless seems simple...

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$$



› ...so what's the problem?

# WIRELESS VS WIRELINE



- › Many aspects are similar...  
...but there are some fundamental differences!

## ***Wireline***

- › Cable
- › “No” spectrum limitation
  - Over-provisioning
- › Relatively static channels
  - No fading
- › Congestion ➡ lost packets
- › No mobility

## ***Wireless***

- › No cable 😊
- › Spectrum is scarce
  - Radio-resource management
- › Time-varying radio channel
  - Fast fading
- › Fading ➡ lost packets
- › Mobility



# RADIO-CHANNEL VARIATIONS



- › Transmitted power  $P_{Tx}$  ➔ received power  $P_{Rx} \ll P_{Tx}$
- › Path loss  $\propto 1/r^\alpha$   $\alpha \approx 2 \dots 3.5$ 
  - Given by Tx-to-Rx distance
- › Log-normal fading
  - Due to random variations in terrain (large scale)
  - Received signal strength in dB given by normal distribution
- › Fast fading
  - Random variations in environment
  - Often modeled by a Rayleigh distribution

Slow



Fast



# RADIO-CHANNEL VARIATIONS



- › Transmitted signal reflected in numerous objects
  - Multiple delayed signal copies received
  - 'Large' and 'small' time differences between components
  
- › 'Small' delay difference
  - components add constructively...or destructively
  - Large number of components
    - ➔ central-limit theorem
    - ➔ Gaussian-distributed amplitude
    - ➔ Rayleigh-distributed power (Rayleigh-fading, fast fading)




Radio-channels – rapidly varying signal quality

# RADIO-CHANNEL VARIATIONS




- › 'Large' delay difference
  - Inter-symbol interference (ISI)



Intersymbol  
interference

Example:  
1 Mbit/s bit rate → bit duration 1  $\mu$ s – same order as time dispersion




- › Handling time dispersion through...
  - ...receiver-side signal processing (e.g equalizer)
  - ...transmission scheme robust to time dispersion (e.g. OFDM)

# INTERFERENCE VARIATIONS



- › Transmissions in neighboring cells cause interference
  - ➔ received signal quality affected by neighboring cell activity



# TRAFFIC VARIATIONS



## › Traditional voice services

- Low, ~10 kbit/s data rate
- Fairly constant during the call

} ➔ circuit-switched ok!

## › Packet-data services

- Behavior depends on type of service
- Typically rapidly and randomly varying rate requirements  
(‘all-or-nothing’ resource requirement)


} ➔ packet-switched NW

Packet-data systems – rapidly varying data rates

# TCP BASICS



- › TCP – Internet’s end-to-end transport layer protocol (non-real time)



- › Main responsibilities of TCP:
  - provide reliable data transport
  - avoid congestion in the network

← Interaction with wireless links requires attention!


# TCP BASICS



- › Error recovery and congestion control are intertwined
  - lost packets used as congestion signal by TCP ➔ **hide radio-link errors from TCP**
  - Lost packets ➔ timeout ➔ slow start

## › TCP congestion management


- Window = not-yet-ACKed packets in transmission
- Phase 1: Slow start
  - › Increase window by one on each received ACK
  - › window grows exponentially
- Phase 2: Congestion avoidance
  - › Increase window by  $1/\text{window\_size}$  on each ACK
  - › window grows linearly



# TCP BASICS



- › TCP performance determined by data rate *and* latency
  - High data rate alone not sufficient – need low latency as well
  - Delay-bandwidth product




High data rate *and* low latency



# RADIO CHANNELS AND PACKET DATA



- › Radio-channel quality varies...
  - ...distance to base station
  - ...random environmental variations
  - ...interference variations



- › Traffic pattern varies...
  - ...user behavior
  - ...server load



***Adapt to and exploit*** channel and traffic variations!


# BASIC PRINCIPLES USED BY HSPA AND LTE



# RATE CONTROL





- ›  $E_b/N_0$  – fundamental quantity in communications
  - $E_b$  received energy per information bit [J]
  - $N_0$  noise power spectral density [W/Hz]
  
- › Block-Error Rate vs  $E_b/N_0$ 
  - Practical schemes –  
BLER decreases with increasing  $E_b$



# RATE CONTROL



- ›  $N_0$  is given
  - Noise etc
- › How to control  $E_b$  despite varying radio-channel quality?
  - $E_b = P \cdot T = P / R$



# RATE CONTROL






- › Packet-data services typically accept (short-term) data-rate variations
  - Internet has unpredictable data rates
  - Short-term variations acceptable even for most services with strict QoS requirements – only cares about average data rate
  
- › Rate control more efficient than power control
  - Power amplifier runs at 'full power all the time'

# RATE CONTROL



- › Data rate controlled through...
- › ...different channel coding rates
  - Advantageous channel conditions ➔ high code rate
  - Code rates from 1/3 to ~1
- › ...different modulation schemes
  - Advantageous channel conditions ➔ higher-order modulation
- › ...different multi-antenna schemes




# SHARED-CHANNEL TRANSMISSION




## › Dedicated channel

- Resources assigned at "call setup"
- Independent of instantaneous traffic
- "Circuit-switched"



## › Shared channel

- Dynamic sharing of common resource
- Adapts to instantaneous traffic situation
- "Packet-switched"




Shared channel – dynamic resource management

# CHANNEL-DEPENDENT SCHEDULING



- › Scheduling determines at each time instant...
  - ...to whom to assign the shared channel
  - ...which data rate to use (rate adaptation)
  
- › Basic idea: transmit at fading peaks
  - Known as multi-user diversity





# CHANNEL-DEPENDENT SCHEDULING



## › Round Robin (RR)




- Cyclically assign the channel to users **without** taking quality conditions into account
- Simple but poor performance

## › Max C/I

- Assign the channel to the user with the best **absolute** quality
- High system throughput but not fair

## › Proportional Fair (PF)

- Assign the channel to the user with the best **relative** quality
- High throughput, fair



# CHANNEL-DEPENDENT SCHEDULING





- › Good schedulers take radio *and* traffic variations into account
- › Radio-channel variations
  - Schedule at fading peaks
- › Traffic variations
  - Schedule when user has data
  - May take priorities into account
    - › Example: VoIP has higher priority than file download

# CHANNEL-DEPENDENT SCHEDULING




- › The larger the unfairness, the higher the system throughput...  
...true for full buffers but realistic traffic complicates the picture



# HYBRID ARQ WITH SOFT COMBINING



- › Retransmission of erroneously received packets
  - Fast ➔ no disturbance of TCP behavior
- › Soft combining of multiple transmission attempts
  - Soft combining ➔ improved performance



# HYBRID ARQ WITH SOFT COMBINING




## › Coding

- Add redundancy at transmitter
- Exploit redundancy at receiver to correct (most) transmission errors
- Code rate  $R = k/n$ , code rate fine tuned by puncturing
- The lower the code rate  $R$ , the lower the error rate but the higher the overhead

## › Hybrid-ARQ


- Correct most errors with coding
- Detect uncorrectable transmission errors, request retransmissions



# HYBRID ARQ WITH SOFT COMBINING




## › Incremental redundancy



# SUMMARY



› Radio channel quality is time varying



› Traffic pattern is time varying




› **Adapt to** and **exploit**...

- variations in the radio channel quality
- variations in the traffic pattern

...instead of combating them!

# SUMMARY – THE 3GPP ECOSYSTEM



***333 HSPA operators in  
139 countries...***

***2922 HSPA devices from  
255 suppliers...***





# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...




Open the 3GPP specifications...



...or read The Book!

Available in English, Chinese, Korean and Japanese.





**ERICSSON**