

2010

2

2010

EL2620 Nonlinear Control Today's Goal Lecture 5 You should be able to • derive the gain of a system Input-output stability • analyze stability using - Small Gain Theorem - Circle Criterion u yS- Passivity Lecture 5 1 Lecture 5 EL2620 2010 EL2620 **History** f(y)Gain yIdea: Generalize the concept of gain to nonlinear dynamical systems yU ySFor what G(s) and $f(\cdot)$ is the closed-loop system stable? The gain γ of S is the largest amplification from u to yHere S can be a constant, a matrix, a linear time-invariant system, etc • Luré and Postnikov's problem (1944) • Aizerman's conjecture (1949) (False!) **Question:** How should we measure the size of *u* and *y*? • Kalman's conjecture (1957) (False!) • Solution by Popov (1960) (Led to the Circle Criterion) 3 Lecture 5 Lecture 5

4

5

2010

EL2620

2010

Gain of a Matrix

Every matrix $M \in \mathbf{C}^{n imes n}$ has a singular value decomposition

 $M = U\Sigma V^*$

where

 $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \right\} \, ; \quad U^*U = I \; ; \quad V^*V = I$

 σ_i - the singular values

The "gain" of M is the largest singular value of M:

$$\sigma_{\max}(M) = \sigma_1 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|Mx\|}{\|x\|}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm.

EL2620

Lecture 5

2010

6

Signal Norms

A signal x is a function $x : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$.

A signal norm $\|\cdot\|_k$ is a norm on the space of signals x.

Examples:

2-norm (energy norm): $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\int_0^\infty |x(t)|^2 dt}$ sup-norm: $||x||_\infty = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} |x(t)|$

Norms

A norm $\|\cdot\|$ measures size

Definition:

A norm is a function $\|\cdot\|:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^+,$ such that for all $x,y\in\Omega$

- $||x|| \ge 0$ and $||x|| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$
- $||x+y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$
- $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

Examples:

Lecture 5

EL2620

Euclidean norm:
$$||x|| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$$

Max norm: $||x|| = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$

Eigenvalues are not gains

The spectral radius of a matrix M

$$\rho(M) = \max_{i} |\lambda_i(M)|$$

is not a gain.

Why? What amplification is described by the eigenvalues?

2010

EL2620

2010

Parseval's Theorem

 \mathcal{L}_2 denotes the space of signals with bounded energy: $||x||_2 < \infty$

Theorem: If $x, y \in \mathcal{L}_2$ have the Fourier transforms

$$X(i\omega) = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega t} x(t) dt, \qquad Y(i\omega) = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega t} y(t) dt,$$

then

$$\int_0^\infty y(t)x(t)dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty Y^*(i\omega)X(i\omega)d\omega.$$

In particular,

$$\|x\|_{2}^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} |x(t)|^{2} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |X(i\omega)|^{2} d\omega.$$

The power calculated in the time domain equals the power calculated in the frequency domain

9 Lecture 5 Lecture 5 EL2620 2010 EL2620 $f(x^*) = Kx^*$ has gain $\gamma(f) = K$. **2** minute exercise: Show that $\gamma(S_1S_2) \leq \gamma(S_1)\gamma(S_2)$. S_1 S_2

Lecture 5

System Gain A system S is a map from \mathcal{L}_2 to \mathcal{L}_2 : y = S(u).



The gain of
$$S$$
 is defined as $\gamma(S) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_2} \frac{\|y\|_2}{\|u\|_2} = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_2} \frac{\|S(u)\|_2}{\|u\|_2}$

Example: The gain of a scalar static system $y(t) = \alpha u(t)$ is

$$\gamma(\alpha) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_2} \frac{\|\alpha u\|_2}{\|u\|_2} = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_2} \frac{|\alpha| \|u\|_2}{\|u\|_2} = |\alpha|$$

2010

10

Gain of a Static Nonlinearity

Lemma: A static nonlinearity f such that $|f(x)| \leq K|x|$ and Kxf(x)xProof: $\|y\|_2^2 = \int_0^\infty f^2 (u(t)) dt \le \int_0^\infty K^2 u^2(t) dt = K^2 \|u\|_2^2$, where $u(t) = x^*$, $t \in (0, 1)$, gives equality, so $\gamma(f) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_2} \|y\|_2 / \|u\|_2 = K$

Lemma:

Proof:

 $\nabla |G(i\omega)|$

2010

BIBO Stability

Definition:

EL2620

stable if $\gamma(S) < \infty$.



le then ble.

Lecture 5	13	Lecture 5	14
EL2620	2010	EL2620	2010

ear Feedback



Small Gain Theorem gives BIBO stability for $K \in (0, 1/2)$.

$$\gamma(G) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_2} \frac{||Gu||_2}{||u||_2} = \sup_{\omega \in (0,\infty)} |G(i\omega)| = I \\ for some ω^* . Parseval's theorem gives

$$||y||_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Y(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ Arbitrary close to equality by choosing $u(t)$ close to $\sin \omega^* t$.
Lecture 5 13
Lecture 5
The Small Gain Theorem

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le V^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 d\omega \le K^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 |U(i\omega)|^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega)|^2 ||u||_2^2 \\ I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(i\omega$$$$$$

 e_2 r_2 S_2

Gain of a Stable Linear System

 $\gamma(G)^{10}$

Theorem: Assume S_1 and S_2 are BIBO stable. If $\gamma(S_1)\gamma(S_2) < 1$, then the closed-loop system is BIBO stable from (r_1, r_2) to (e_1, e_2)

2010

2010

"Proof" of the Small Gain Theorem

 $||e_1||_2 \le ||r_1||_2 + \gamma(S_2)[||r_2||_2 + \gamma(S_1)||e_1||_2]$

gives

$$||e_1||_2 \le \frac{||r_1||_2 + \gamma(S_2)||r_2||_2}{1 - \gamma(S_2)\gamma(S_1)}$$

 $\gamma(S_2)\gamma(S_1)<1,$ $\|r_1\|_2<\infty,$ $\|r_2\|_2<\infty$ give $\|e_1\|_2<\infty.$ Similarly we get

$$\|e_2\|_2 \le \frac{\|r_2\|_2 + \gamma(S_1)\|r_1\|_2}{1 - \gamma(S_1)\gamma(S_2)}$$

so also e_2 is bounded.

Note: Formal proof requires
$$\|\cdot\|_{2e}$$
, see Khalil

Lecture 5

EL2620

2010

17

Small Gain Theorem can be Conservative

Let f(y) = Ky in the previous example. Then the Nyquist Theorem proves stability for all $K \in [0, \infty)$, while the Small Gain Theorem only proves stability for $K \in (0, 1/2)$.





The Nyquist Theorem



Theorem: If G has no poles in the right half plane and the Nyquist curve $G(i\omega), \omega \in [0, \infty)$, does not encircle -1, then the closed-loop system is stable.

Lecture 5	18
EL2620	2010

The Circle Criterion



Theorem: Assume that G(s) has no poles in the right half plane, and

$$0 \le k_1 \le \frac{f(y)}{y} \le k_2, \quad \forall y \ne 0, \qquad f(0) = 0$$

If the Nyquist curve of G(s) does not encircle or intersect the circle defined by the points $-1/k_1$ and $-1/k_2$, then the closed-loop system is BIBO stable.

2010

EL2620

Proof of the Circle Criterion

 $\left|\frac{\widetilde{f}(y)}{y}\right| \le \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} =: R, \quad \forall y \neq 0, \qquad \widetilde{f}(0) = 0$

Let $k = (k_1 + k_2)/2$, $\tilde{f}(y) = f(y) - ky$, and $\tilde{r}_1 = r_1 - kr_2$:

 y_1

 r_2

22

2010

Example—Static Nonlinear Feedback (cont'd)



The "circle" is defined by $-1/k_1 = -\infty$ and $-1/k_2 = -1/K$. Since

 $\min \operatorname{Re} G(i\omega) = -1/4$

the Circle Criterion gives that the system is BIBO stable if $K \in (0, 4)$.



Passivity and BIBO Stability

The main result: Feedback interconnections of passive systems are passive, and BIBO stable (under some additional mild criteria)

Scalar Product

Scalar product for signals y and u

$$\langle y, u \rangle_T = \int_0^T y^T(t) u(t) dt$$

$$u \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow y$$

If u and y are interpreted as vectors then $\langle y, u \rangle_T = |y|_T |u|_T \cos \phi$ $|y|_T = \sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle_T}$ - length of y, ϕ - angle between u and y

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: $\langle y, u \rangle_T \leq |y|_T |u|_T$

Example: $u = \sin t$ and $y = \cos t$ are orthogonal if $T = k\pi$, because

$$\cos\phi = \frac{\langle y, u \rangle_T}{|y|_T |u|_T} = 0$$



2010

Feedback of Passive Systems is Passive



$$-||--| i = C\frac{du}{dt} : \langle u, i \rangle_T = \int_0^T u(t)C\frac{du}{dt}dt = \frac{Cu^2(T)}{2} \ge 0$$

$$-\cdots - u = L\frac{di}{dt} : \langle u, i \rangle_T = \int_0^T L\frac{di}{dt}i(t)dt = \frac{Li^2(T)}{2} \ge 0$$

$\xrightarrow{r_1} \underbrace{e_1}_{\bigstar}$	S_1	y_1
y_2	S_2	e_2 r_2

Lemma: If S_1 and S_2 are passive then the closed-loop system from (r_1, r_2) to (y_1, y_2) is also passive.

Proof:

$$y, e\rangle_T = \langle y_1, e_1 \rangle_T + \langle y_2, e_2 \rangle_T = \langle y_1, r_1 - y_2 \rangle_T + \langle y_2, r_2 + y_1 \rangle_T = \langle y_1, r_1 \rangle_T + \langle y_2, r_2 \rangle_T = \langle y, r \rangle_T$$

Hence, $\langle y, r \rangle_T > 0$ if $\langle y_1, e_1 \rangle_T > 0$ and $\langle y_2, e_2 \rangle_T > 0$.

29	Lecture 5		30
2010	EL2620	20)10

Passivity of Linear Systems

Theorem: An asymptotically stable linear system G(s) is **passive** if and only if

 $\operatorname{Re} G(i\omega) > 0, \quad \forall \omega > 0$

It is **strictly passive** if and only if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} G(i\omega - \epsilon) \ge 0, \qquad \forall \omega > 0$$

Example:

Lecture 5

EL2620



31

2010

A Strictly Passive System Has Finite Gain



Lemma: If S is strictly passive then $\gamma(S) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{L}_2} \frac{\|y\|_2}{\|u\|_2} < \infty$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(|y|_{\infty}^{2}+|u|_{\infty}^{2}) &\leq \langle y,u\rangle_{\infty} \leq |y|_{\infty}\cdot |u|_{\infty} = \|y\|_{2}\cdot \|u\|_{2} \\ \text{Hence, } \epsilon\|y\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|y\|_{2}\cdot \|u\|_{2} \text{, so} \end{aligned}$$

$$\|y\|_2 \le \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|u\|_2$$

32

The Passivity Theorem

 S_1

 S_2

 e_1

 y_2

closed-loop system is BIBO stable from r to y.

Theorem: If S_1 is strictly passive and S_2 is passive, then the

 y_1

 e_2

 r_2

 r_1

2010

2010

Proof

 S_1 strictly passive and S_2 passive give

$$\epsilon \left(|y_1|_T^2 + |e_1|_T^2 \right) \le \langle y_1, e_1 \rangle_T + \langle y_2, e_2 \rangle_T = \langle y, r \rangle_T$$

Therefore

$$|y_1|_T^2 + \langle r_1 - y_2, r_1 - y_2 \rangle_T \le \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle y, r \rangle_T$$

or

$$y_1|_T^2 + |y_2|_T^2 - 2\langle y_2, r_2 \rangle_T + |r_1|_T^2 \le \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle y, r \rangle_T$$

Hence

$$y|_T^2 \le 2\langle y_2, r_2 \rangle_T + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle y, r \rangle_T \le \left(2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) |y|_T |r|_T$$

Let $T \to \infty$ and the result follows.

Lecture 5	33	Lecture 5	34
EL2620	2010	EL2620	2010
The Passivity Theorem is a "Small Phase Theorem" $r_1 \rightarrow e_1 \qquad S_1 \qquad y_1 \qquad \qquad$	ϕ_2	2 minute exercise : Apply the Passivity Theorem and the Nyquist Theorem. What about conservativeness? discussion on the Small Gain Theorem.]	compare it with Compare the

Example—Gain Adaptation

Applications in telecommunication channel estimation and in noise cancellation etc.



Adaptation law:

Lecture 5

EL2620

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -cu(t)[y_m(t) - y(t)], \qquad c > 0$$

Gain Adaptation—Closed-Loop System





Gain Adaptation is BIBO Stable



S is **passive** (see exercises). If G(s) is **strictly passive**, the closed-loop system is BIBO stable

Simulation of Gain Adaptation



2010

Storage Function

Consider the nonlinear control system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad y = h(x)$$

A storage function is a C^1 function $V:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ such that

• V(0) = 0 and $V(x) \ge 0$, $\forall x \ne 0$ • $\dot{V}(x) \le u^T y$, $\forall x, u$

Remark:

• V(T) represents the stored energy in the system

•
$$\underbrace{V(x(T))}_{\text{stored energy at }t = T} \leq \underbrace{\int_{0}^{T} y(t)u(t)dt}_{\text{absorbed energy}} + \underbrace{V(x(0))}_{\text{stored energy at }t = 0}$$
, $\forall T > 0$

Storage Function and Passivity

Lemma: If there exists a storage function V for a system

 $\dot{x} = f(x, u), \qquad y = h(x)$

with x(0) = 0, then the system is passive.

Proof: For all T > 0,

$$\langle y, u \rangle_T = \int_0^T y(t)u(t)dt \ge V(x(T)) - V(x(0)) = V(x(T)) \ge 0$$

Lecture 5	41	Lecture 5	42
EL2620	2010	EL2620	2010

Example—KYP Lemma

Consider an asymptotically stable linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \qquad y = Cx$$

Assume there exists positive definite matrices P, Q such that

$$A^T P + P A = -Q, \qquad B^T P = C$$

Consider $V = 0.5x^T P x$. Then

$$\dot{V} = 0.5(\dot{x}^T P x + x^T P \dot{x}) = 0.5x^T (A^T P + P A)x + uB^T P x$$
$$= -0.5x^T Q x + uy < uy, \quad x \neq 0$$

and hence the system is strictly passive. This fact is part of the Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov lemma.

Lyapunov vs. Passivity

Storage function is a generalization of Lyapunov function

Lyapunov idea: "Energy is decreasing"

 $\dot{V} \leq 0$

Passivity idea: "Increase in stored energy \leq Added energy"

$$\dot{V} \leq u^T y$$

Next Lecture

• Analysis of periodic solutions using describing functions

Today's Goal

You should be able to

- derive the gain of a system
- analyze stability using
 - Small Gain Theorem
 - Circle Criterion
 - Passivity

Lecture 5

45

Lecture 5

46