## DD2448 Foundations of Cryptography Lecture 8

#### Douglas Wikström KTH Royal Institute of Technology dog@kth.se

March 11, 2016

DD2448 Foundations of Cryptography March 11, 2016

# ROM-RSA

DD2448 Foundations of Cryptography March 11, 2016<sup>1</sup>



Definition. The RSA assumption states that if:

- 1.  $N = pq$  factors into two randomly chosen primes p and q of the same bit-size,
- 2. e is in  $\mathbb{Z}_{d}^{*}$  $_\phi^*(N)$ '
- 3. *m* is randomly chosen in  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$  $_N^*$

then for every polynomial time algorithm A

$$
Pr[A(N, e, m^e \text{ mod } N) = m]
$$

is negligible.

### Semantically Secure ROM-RSA (1/2)

Suppose that  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  is a randomly chosen function (a random oracle).

- ► Key Generation. Choose a random RSA key pair  $((N, e), (p, q, d))$ , with  $log_2 N = n$ .
- Encryption. Encrypt a plaintext  $m \in \{0,1\}^n$  by choosing  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Lambda}^*$  $_{N}^{\ast}$  randomly and computing

$$
(u,v)=(r^e \bmod N, f(r) \oplus m).
$$

 $\triangleright$  Decryption. Decrypt a ciphertext  $(u, v)$  by

$$
m=v\oplus f(u^d \bmod N).
$$

## Semantically Secure RSA in the ROM (2/2)

- $\triangleright$  We increase the ciphertext size by a factor of two.
- $\triangleright$  Our analysis is in the random oracle model, which is unsound!

## Semantically Secure RSA in the ROM (2/2)

- $\triangleright$  We increase the ciphertext size by a factor of two.
- $\triangleright$  Our analysis is in the random oracle model, which is unsound!

#### Solutions.

► Using a "optimal" padding the first problem can be reduced. See standard OAEP+.

## Semantically Secure RSA in the ROM (2/2)

- $\triangleright$  We increase the ciphertext size by a factor of two.
- ▶ Our analysis is in the random oracle model, which is unsound!

#### Solutions.

- ► Using a "optimal" padding the first problem can be reduced. See standard OAEP+.
- $\triangleright$  Using a scheme with much lower rate, the second problem can be removed.

# Rabin

DD2448 Foundations of Cryptography March 11, 2016



#### Key Generation.

- ► Choose *n*-bit primes p and q such that  $p, q = 3 \text{ mod } 4$ randomly and define  $N = pq$ .
- ▶ Output the key pair  $(N, (p, q))$ , where N is the public key and  $(p, q)$  is the secret key.

#### **Encryption.** Encrypt a plaintext  $m$  by computing

$$
c = m^2 \bmod N.
$$

#### **Decryption.** Decrypt a ciphertext c by computing

 $m = \sqrt{c} \mod N$  .

**Encryption.** Encrypt a plaintext  $m$  by computing

$$
c = m^2 \bmod N.
$$

**Decryption.** Decrypt a ciphertext c by computing

 $m = \sqrt{c} \mod N$  .

There are **four** roots, so which one should be used?

### Rabin's Cryptosystem (3/3)

Suppose  $y$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ .

$$
\left(\pm y^{(p+1)/4}\right)^2 = y^{(p+1)/2} \mod p
$$

$$
= y^{(p-1)/2}y \mod p
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)y
$$

$$
= y \mod p
$$

In Rabin's cryptosystem:

- ► Find roots for  $y_p = y$  mod p and  $y_q = y$  mod q.
- $\triangleright$  Combine roots to get the four roots modulo N. Choose the "right" root and output the plaintext.

Theorem. Breaking Rabin's cryptosystem is equivalent to factoring.

Idea.

- 1. Choose random element r.
- 2. Hand  $r^2$  mod N to adversary.
- 3. Consider outputs  $r'$  from the adversary such that  $(r')^2 = r^2$  mod N. Then  $r' \neq \pm r$  mod N, with probability  $1/2$ , in which case  $gcd(r'-r, N)$  gives a factor of N.

### A Goldwasser-Micali Variant of Rabin

**Theorem [CG98].** If factoring is hard and  $r$  is a random quadratic residue modulo N, then for every polynomial time algorithm A

$$
Pr[A(N, r^2 \bmod N) = \text{lsb}(r)]
$$

is negligible.

► Encryption. Encrypt a plaintext  $m \in \{0, 1\}$  by choosing a random quadratic residue  $r$  modulo  $N$  and computing

$$
(u, v) = (r2 mod N, \text{lsb}(r) \oplus m).
$$

 $\triangleright$  **Decryption.** Decrypt a ciphertext  $(u, v)$  by

 $m=\nu\oplus \textsf{lsb}(\sqrt{u})$  where  $\sqrt{u}$  is a quadratic residue .

# Diffie-Hellman

DD2448 Foundations of Cryptography March 11, 2016



Diffie and Hellman asked themselves:

How can two parties efficiently agree on a secret key using only public communication?

#### Construction.

Let G be a cyclic group of order q with generator  $g$ .

- 1. ► Alice picks  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  randomly, computes  $y_a = g^a$  and hands  $y_a$ to Bob.
	- ► Bob picks  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  randomly, computes  $y_b = g^b$  and hands  $y_b$ to Alice.
- 2. Alice computes  $k = y_b^a$ . Bob computes  $k = y_a^b$ .
- 3. The joint secret key is  $k$ .

#### Problems.

- $\triangleright$  Susceptible to man-in-the-middle attack without authentication.
- $\blacktriangleright$  How do we map a random element  $k \in G$  to a random symmetric key in  $\{0,1\}^n$ ?

## The  $E1$  Gamal Cryptosystem  $(1/2)$

**Definition.** Let G be a cyclic group of order q with generator  $g$ .

 $\triangleright$  The key generation algorithm chooses a random element  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  as the private key and defines the public key as

$$
y=g^x.
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  The encryption algorithm takes a message  $m \in G$  and the public key y, chooses  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , and outputs the pair

$$
(u, v) = E_y(m, r) = (g^r, y^r m).
$$

 $\triangleright$  The **decryption** algorithm takes a ciphertext  $(u, v)$  and the secret key and outputs

$$
m = D_x(u, v) = vu^{-x}
$$

.

- $\blacktriangleright$  El Gamal is essentially Diffie-Hellman  $+$  OTP.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Homomorphic property (with public key y)

$$
E_y(m_0,r_0)E_y(m_1,r_1)=E_y(m_0m_1,r_0+r_1).
$$

This property is very important in the construction of cryptographic protocols!

**Definition.** Let G be a cyclic group of order q and let  $g$  be a generator G. The **discrete logarithm** of  $y \in G$  in the basis g (written log<sub>g</sub> y) is defined as the unique  $x \in \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}$  such that

$$
y=g^x.
$$

Compare with a "normal" logarithm! (In  $y = x$  iff  $y = e^x$ )

# **Example.** 7 is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  additively, since  $gcd(7, 12) = 1$ . What is  $log<sub>7</sub> 3?$

**Example.** 7 is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  additively, since  $gcd(7, 12) = 1$ . What is  $log_7 3$ ?  $(9 \cdot 7 = 63 = 3 \text{ mod } 12$ , so  $log_7 3 = 9$ )

**Example.** 7 is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  additively, since  $gcd(7, 12) = 1$ . What is  $log_7 3$ ?  $(9 \cdot 7 = 63 = 3 \text{ mod } 12$ , so  $log_7 3 = 9$ )

**Example.** 7 is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{13}^*$ .

What is  $log_7 9$ ?

**Example.** 7 is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  additively, since  $gcd(7, 12) = 1$ . What is  $log_7 3$ ? (9 · 7 = 63 = 3 mod 12, so  $log_7 3 = 9$ )

**Example.** 7 is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{13}^*$ . What is  $log_7 9$ ? (7<sup>4</sup> = 9 mod 13, so  $log_7 9 = 4$ ) Let  $G_{q_n}$  be a cyclic group of prime order  $q_n$  such that  $|\log_2 q_n| = n$ for  $n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ , and denote the family  $\{G_{n_k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by G.

Definition. The Discrete Logarithm (DL) Assumption in G states that if generators  ${\mathcal{g}}_n$  and  ${\mathcal{y}}_n$  of  $G_{q_n}$  are randomly chosen, then for every polynomial time algorithm A

$$
\Pr\left[A(g_n, y_n) = \log_{g_n} y_n\right]
$$

is negligible.

Let  $G_{q_n}$  be a cyclic group of prime order  $q_n$  such that  $|\log_2 q_n| = n$ for  $n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ , and denote the family  $\{G_{n_k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by G.

Definition. The Discrete Logarithm (DL) Assumption in G states that if generators  $g$  and  $y$  of G are randomly chosen, then for every polynomial time algorithm A

$$
\Pr\left[A(g, y) = \log_g y\right]
$$

is negligible.

We usually remove the indices from our notation!

**Definition.** Let g be a generator of G. The **Diffie-Hellman (DH) Assumption** in G states that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  are randomly chosen, then for every polynomial time algorithm A

$$
\Pr\left[A(g^{a}, g^{b}) = g^{ab}\right]
$$

is negligible.

**Definition.** Let g be a generator of G. The **Decision** Diffie-Hellman (DDH) Assumption in G states that if a, b,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  are randomly chosen, then for every polynomial time algorithm A

$$
\left|\Pr\left[A(g^{a}, g^{b}, g^{ab}) = 1\right] - \Pr\left[A(g^{a}, g^{b}, g^{c}) = 1\right]\right|
$$

is negligible.

- $\triangleright$  Computing discrete logarithms is at least as hard as computing a Diffie-Hellman element  $g^{ab}$  from  $g^{a}$  and  $g^{b}.$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Computing a Diffie-Hellman element  $g^{ab}$  from  $g^{a}$  and  $g^{b}$  is at least as hard as distinguishing a Diffie-Hellman triple  $(g^a, g^b, g^{ab})$  from a random triple  $(g^a, g^b, g^c)$ .
- $\triangleright$  In most groups where the DL assumption is conjectured, DH and DDH assumptions are conjectured as well.
- $\triangleright$  There exists special elliptic curves where DDH problem is easy, but DH assumption is conjectured!
- $\triangleright$  Finding the secret key is equivalent to DL problem.
- $\triangleright$  Finding the plaintext from the ciphertext and the public key and is equivalent to DH problem.
- $\triangleright$  The semantic security of El Gamal is equivalent to DDH problem.

Let G be a cyclic group of order q and  $g$  a generator. We wish to compute log<sub>g</sub> y.

- $\blacktriangleright$  Brute Force.  $O(q)$
- Shanks. Time and Space  $O(\sqrt{q})$ .
	- 1. Set  $z = g^m$  (think of *m* as  $m = \sqrt{q}$ ).
	- 2. Compute  $z^i$  for  $0 \le i \le q/m$ .
	- 3. Find  $0 \le j \le m$  and  $0 \le i \le q/m$  such that  $yg^j = z^i$  and output  $x = mi - j$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $q_0, \ldots, q_k$  be randomly chosen in a set S. Then

1. the probability that  $q_i = q_j$  for some  $i \neq j$  is approximately  $1-e^{-\frac{k^2}{2s}}$ , where  $s=|S|$ , and 2. with  $k \approx \sqrt{-2s \ln(1-\delta)}$  we have a collision-probability of  $\delta$ .

Proof.

$$
\left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)\left(\frac{s-2}{s}\right)\cdot\ldots\cdot\left(\frac{s-k}{s}\right)\approx\prod_{i=1}^k e^{-\frac{i}{s}}\approx e^{-\frac{k^2}{2s}}.
$$