Lecture 3: Probability, Statistics and Pattern Recognition DT2118 Speech and Speaker Recognition

Giampiero Salvi

 ${\rm KTH}/{\rm CSC}/{\rm TMH}~{\rm giampi@kth.se}$ 

VT 2016

## Components of ASR System



#### Different views on probabilities

Axiomatic defines axioms and derives properties Classical number of ways something can happen over total number of things that can happen (e.g. dice) Logical same, but weight the different ways Frequency frequency of success in repeated experiments Propensity Subjective degree of belief

č

#### Axiomatic view on probabilities (Kolmogorov)

Given an event E in a event space F

- 1.  $P(E) \ge 0$  for all  $E \in F$
- 2. sure event  $\Omega$ :  $P(\Omega) = 1$
- 3.  $E_1, E_2, \ldots$  countable sequence of pairwise disjoint events, then



#### Consequences

1. Monotonicity:  $P(A) \leq P(B)$  if  $A \subseteq B$ 



2. Empty set  $\emptyset$ :  $P(\emptyset) = 0$ 3. Bounds:  $0 \le P(E) \le 1$  for all  $E \in F$ 

#### More Consequences: Addition

 $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$ 



## More Consequences: Negation

$$P(\bar{A}) = P(\Omega \setminus A) = 1 - P(A)$$



#### P(A|B)

# The probability of event A when we know that event B has happened

# Note: different from the probability that event A and event B happen

 $P(A|B) \neq P(A \cap B)$ 



 $P(A|B) \neq P(A \cap B)$ 



#### $P(A|B) \neq P(A \cap B)$



$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$



#### Bayes' Rule

# if $P(A|B) = rac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$

#### then

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)$$

 $\mathsf{and}$ 

$$P(A|B) = rac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

## Discrete vs Continuous variables



- Discrete events: either 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.
- Discrete probability distribution
   p(x) = P(d = x)
- ► P(d = 1) = 1/6 (fair dice)



- Any real number (theoretically infinite)
- Distribution function (PDF) f(x) (NOT PROBABILITY!!!)

• 
$$P(t = 36.6) = 0$$

• 
$$P(36.6 < t < 36.7) = 0.1$$

#### Gaussian distributions: One-dimensional



#### Gaussian distributions: One-dimensional

$$f(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = N(\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$

Bayes rule with continuous variables

Discrete case:

$$P(A|B) = rac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Continuous case (not probabilities)

$$P(A|x) = rac{f(x|A)P(A)}{f(x)}$$

Continuous case (probabilities)

$$P(A|x) = \frac{f(x|A)dxP(A)}{f(x)dx}$$

#### Gaussian distributions: d Dimensions

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdots \\ x_d \end{bmatrix} \quad \mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \cdots \\ \mu_d \end{bmatrix} \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1d} \\ \sigma_{21} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \sigma_{dd} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)^{T}\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)\right]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

#### The Probabilistic Model of Classification

- "Nature" assumes one of c states ω<sub>j</sub> with a priori probability P(ω<sub>j</sub>)
- When in state ω<sub>j</sub>, "nature" emits observations x̂ with distribution p(x|ω<sub>j</sub>)





х

#### Problem

- If I observe x̂ and I know P(ω<sub>j</sub>) and p(x|ω<sub>j</sub>) for each j
- what can I say about the state of "nature" ω<sub>j</sub>?

#### Bayes decision theory





х

#### **Classifiers:** Discriminant Functions



 $d_i(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_i) \ P(\omega_i)$ 

#### Classifiers: Decision Boundaries



Figure from Huang, Acero, Hon.

#### Decision Boundaries in Two Dimensions



Figure from Huang, Acero, Hon.

Bayes' Rule and Pattern Recognition

A = words, B = sounds:

- During training we know the words and can compute *P*(sounds|words) using frequentist approach (repeated observations)
- during recognition we want
   words = arg max P(words|sounds)
- using Bayes' rule:

$$P( ext{words}| ext{sounds}) = rac{P( ext{sounds}| ext{words})P( ext{words})}{P( ext{sounds})}$$

#### where

P(words): *a priori* probability of the words (Language Model) P(sounds): *a priori* probability of the sounds (constant, can be ignored)

#### **Estimation Theory**

- ▶ so far we assumed we know  $P(\omega_j)$  and  $p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_j)$
- how can we obtain them from collections of data?
- this is the subject of Estimation Theory

Parametric vs Non-Parametric Estimation



#### Parameter estimation



Assumptions:

- ► samples from class  $\omega_i$  do not influence estimate for class  $\omega_j, i \neq j$
- samples from the same class are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.)

## Parameter estimation (cont.)

class independence assumption:



- Maximum likelihood estimation
- Maximum a posteriori estimation
- Bayesian estimation

#### Maximum likelihood estimation

Find parameter vector θ̂ that maximises p(D|θ) with D = {x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub>}
i.i.d. → p(D|θ) = ∏<sup>n</sup><sub>k=1</sub> p(x<sub>k</sub>|θ)



#### Maximum likelihood estimation

- Find parameter vector  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximises  $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$  with

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$$
  
• i.i.d.  $\rightarrow p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n p(\mathbf{x}_k|\theta)$ 



#### Maximum likelihood estimation

- Find parameter vector  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximises  $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$  with

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$$
  
• i.i.d.  $\rightarrow p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n p(\mathbf{x}_k|\theta)$ 



#### ML estimation of Gaussian mean

$$N(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right], \text{ with } \theta = \{\mu,\sigma^2\}$$

Log-likelihood of data (i.i.d. samples):

$$\log P(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log N(x_i|\mu,\sigma^2) = -N \log \left(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$0 = \frac{d \log P(\mathcal{D}|\theta)}{d\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(x_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i - N\mu}{\sigma^2} \iff$$
$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$$

#### ML estimation of Gaussian parameters

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$$
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2$$

- same result by minimizing the sum of square errors!
- but we make assumptions explicit

#### Problem: few data points

10 repetitions with 5 points each



#### Problem: few data points

10 repetitions with 5 points each



Х
### Maximum a Posteriori Estimation

$$\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2 = \arg \max_{\mu, \sigma^2} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(x_i | \mu, \sigma^2) P(\mu, \sigma^2) \right]$$

where the prior  $P(\mu, \sigma^2)$  needs a nice mathematical form for closed solution

$$\hat{\mu}_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{N}{N+\gamma} \hat{\mu}_{\text{ML}} + \frac{\gamma}{N+\gamma} \delta$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{MAP}}^{2} = \frac{N}{N+3+2\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_{\text{ML}}^{2} + \frac{2\beta+\gamma(\delta+\hat{\mu}_{\text{MAP}})^{2}}{N+3+2\alpha}$$

where  $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$  are parameters of the prior distribution

### ML, MAP and Point Estimates

- $\blacktriangleright$  Both ML and MAP produce point estimates of  $\theta$
- Assumption: there is a true value for  $\theta$
- advantage: once  $\hat{\theta}$  is found, everything is known



# Overfitting



Figure from Huang, Acero, Hon.

# Overfitting: Phoneme Discrimination



NUMBER OF MIXTURES, m

Figure from Huang, Acero, Hon.

- Consider  $\theta$  as a random variable
- characterize θ with the posterior distribution P(θ|D) given the data

▶ for new data points, instead of P(x<sub>new</sub>| θ̂<sub>ML</sub>) or P(x<sub>new</sub>| θ̂<sub>MAP</sub>), compute:

$${{\mathcal{P}}({f x}_{\scriptscriptstyle {\sf new}}|{\mathcal{D}})} = \int_{ heta \in \Theta} {{\mathcal{P}}({f x}_{\scriptscriptstyle {\sf new}}| heta)} {{\mathcal{P}}( heta|{\mathcal{D}})} d heta$$

# Bayesian estimation (cont.)

- we can compute  $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{D})$  instead of  $p(\mathbf{x}|\hat{\theta})$ 
  - integrate the joint density  $p(\mathbf{x}, \theta | D) = p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p(\theta | D)$



• we can compute  $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{D})$  instead of  $p(\mathbf{x}|\hat{\theta})$ 

• integrate the joint density  $p(\mathbf{x}, \theta | \mathcal{D}) = p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p(\theta | \mathcal{D})$ 



• we can compute  $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{D})$  instead of  $p(\mathbf{x}|\hat{\theta})$ 

• integrate the joint density  $p(\mathbf{x}, \theta | \mathcal{D}) = p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p(\theta | \mathcal{D})$ 



• we can compute  $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{D})$  instead of  $p(\mathbf{x}|\hat{\theta})$ 

• integrate the joint density  $p(\mathbf{x}, \theta | \mathcal{D}) = p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p(\theta | \mathcal{D})$ 



# Bayesian estimation (cont.)

Pros:

- better use of the data
- makes a priori assumptions explicit
- easily implemented recursively
  - use posterior  $p(\theta|\mathcal{D})$  as new prior
- reduce overfitting

Cons:

- definition of noninformative priors can be tricky
- often requires numerical integration

# Other Training Strategies: Discriminative Training

- Maximum Mutual Information Estimation
- Minimum Error Rate Estimation
- Neural Networks

# Multi layer neural networks



Backpropagation algorithm

# Unsupervised Learning

- ► so far we assumed we knew the class ω<sub>i</sub> for each data point
- what if we don't?
- class independence assumption loses meaning



x1

# Vector Quantisation, K-Means

- describes each class with a centroid
- a point belongs to a class if the corresponding centroid is closest (Euclidean distance)
- iterative procedure
- guaranteed to converge
- not guaranteed to find the optimal solution
- used in vector quantization

# K-means: algorithm

**Data**: k (number of desired clusters), n data points  $\mathbf{x}_i$  **Result**: k clusters initialization: assign initial value to k centroids  $\mathbf{c}_i$ ; **repeat** assign each point  $\mathbf{x}_i$  to closest centroid  $\mathbf{c}_i$ ;

compute new centroids as mean of each group of points; until centroids do not change;

**return** k clusters;

# K-means: example

#### iteration 20, update clusters



### K-means: sensitivity to initial conditions

#### iteration 20, update clusters



# Solution: LBG Algorithm

- Linde–Buzo–Gray
- start with one centroid
- adjust to mean
- split centroid (with  $\epsilon$ )
- K-means
- split again...

# K-means: limits of Euclidean distance

- ► the Euclidean distance is isotropic (same in all directions in ℝ<sup>p</sup>)
- this favours spherical clusters
- the size of the clusters is controlled by their distance

### K-means: non-spherical classes

#### two non-spherical classes



# Probabilistic Clustering

- model data as a mixture of probability distributions (Gaussian)
- each distribution corresponds to a cluster
- clustering corresponds to parameter estimation

### Gaussian distributions

$$f_k(\mathbf{x}_i | \mu_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) = \frac{exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu_k)\right\}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Eigenvalue decomposition of the covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma_k = \lambda_k D_k A_k D_k^T$$



# Mixture of Gaussian distributions

$\Sigma_k$	Distribution	Volume	Shape	Orientation
$\lambda I$	Spherical	Equal	Equal	N/A
$\lambda_k I$	Spherical	Variable	Equal	N/A
$\lambda DAD^T$	Ellipsoidal	Equal	Equal	Equal
$\lambda D_k A D_k^T$	Ellipsoidal	Equal	Equal	Variable
$\lambda_k D_k A \hat{D}_k^T$	Ellipsoidal	Variable	Equal	Variable
$\lambda_k D_k A_k \hat{D}_k^T$	Ellipsoidal	Variable	Variable	Variable



# Fitting the model

- given the data  $D = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}$
- given a certain model  $\mathcal M$  and its parameters heta
- maximize the model fit to the data as expressed by the likelihood

$$\mathcal{L} = p(D|\theta)$$

# Unsupervised Case

- release class independence assumption:
- learn the mixture at once
- problem of missing data
- solution: Expectation Maximization

### **Expectation Maximization**

Fitting model parameters with missing (latent) variables

$$P(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k P(x|\theta_k),$$

with 
$$\theta = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_K\}$$

- very general idea (applies to many different probabilistic models)
- augment the data with the missing variables: h<sub>ik</sub>
   probability of assignment of each data point x<sub>i</sub> to each
   component of the mixture k
- optimize the Likelihood of the complete data:

$$P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}|\theta)$$

### Mixture of Gaussians

This distribution is a weight sum of K Gaussian distributions



This model can describe **complex multi-modal** probability distributions by combining simpler distributions.

### Mixture of Gaussians

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$$

- Learning the parameters of this model from training data x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub> is not trivial - using the usual straightforward maximum likelihood approach.
- Instead learn parameters using the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm.

### Mixture of Gaussians as a marginalization

We can interpret the Mixture of Gaussians model with the introduction of a discrete hidden/latent variable h and P(x, h):



Figures taken from Computer Vision: models, learning and inference by Simon Prince.

### EM for two Gaussians

**Assume:** We know the pdf of *x* has this form:

$$P(x) = \pi_1 \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_1, \sigma_1^2) + \pi_2 \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$$

where  $\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$  and  $\pi_k > 0$  for components k = 1, 2.

**Unknown:** Values of the parameters (Many!)

$$\Theta = (\pi_1, \mu_1, \sigma_1, \mu_2, \sigma_2).$$

**Have:** Observed *n* samples  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  drawn from P(x).

**Want to:** Estimate  $\Theta$  from  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ .

#### How would it be possible to get them all???

### EM for two Gaussians

For each sample  $x_i$  introduce a *hidden variable*  $h_i$ 

$$h_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if sample } x_i \text{ was drawn from } \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_1, \sigma_1^2) \\ 2 & \text{if sample } x_i \text{ was drawn from } \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_2, \sigma_2^2) \end{cases}$$

and come up with initial values

$$\Theta^{(0)} = (\pi_1^{(0)}, \mu_1^{(0)}, \sigma_1^{(0)}, \mu_2^{(0)}, \sigma_2^{(0)})$$

for each of the parameters.

EM is an *iterative algorithm* which updates  $\Theta^{(t)}$  using the following two steps...

### EM for two Gaussians: E-step

The responsibility of k-th Gaussian for each sample x (indicated by the size of the projected data point)



# Look at each sample x along hidden variable h in the E-step

Figure from Computer Vision: models, learning and inference by Simon Prince.

# EM for two Gaussians: E-step (cont.)

**E-step:** Compute the "posterior probability" that  $x_i$  was generated by component k given the current estimate of the parameters  $\Theta^{(t)}$ . (responsibilities)

for 
$$i = 1, ..., n$$
  
for  $k = 1, 2$   
 $\gamma_{ik}^{(t)} = P(h_i = k \mid x_i, \Theta^{(t)})$   
 $= \frac{\pi_k^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_k^{(t)}, \sigma_k^{(t)})}{\pi_1^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_1^{(t)}, \sigma_1^{(t)}) + \pi_2^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_2^{(t)}, \sigma_2^{(t)})}$ 

**Note:**  $\gamma_{i1}^{(t)} + \gamma_{i2}^{(t)} = 1$  and  $\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$ 

### EM for two Gaussians: M-step

Fitting the Gaussian model for each of k-th constinuetnt. Sample  $x_i$  contributes according to the responsibility  $\gamma_{ik}$ .



(dashed and solid lines for fit before and after update) Look along samples x for each h in the M-step

Figure from Computer Vision: models, learning and inference by Simon Prince.

### EM for two Gaussians: M-step (cont.)

**M-step:** Compute the *Maximum Likelihood* of the parameters of the mixture model given out data's membership distribution, the  $\gamma_i^{(t)}$ 's:



# EM in practice


## Hierarchical Clustering



(Figure from Wikipedia)